## EvaluationKIT Steering Committee Wednesday, January 21, 2015

## **Attendees**

David Sanchez (IT), Aaron Baca (IT) Charles Fleddermann (Engineering), Marcos Abeyta (IT), Kevin Comerford (Library), Paige Briggs (IT), Karen Gardner (A&S), DeeDee Lopez (A&S), Amanda Cowan (Chemistry), Jessa Zenor-McKelvey (Chemistry), Deborah Fort (Faculty Senate Teaching Enhancement Committee), Aeron Hayne (Center for teaching Excellence)

## **Meeting Agenda and Minutes**

- 1. Quick project status update 11:00-11:10
  - a. Fall pilot hard knocks lessons data quality!!
    - Worked over break with IT to regularize Banner dump and preprocessing procedures
    - ii. We'll initiate more timely and regular contact with departmental admins in spring to address this issue before survey projects are defined/released
  - b. Response rates
  - c. Survey to investigate response rate causal factors
  - d. Other post-mortem steps needed? No
- 2. Select spring participants Fall participants plus 11:10-11:15
  - a. Engineering Yes
  - b. Art & Science Yes, though not yet clear which departments
  - c. Education (3 departments only) Yes
  - d. Others? No
- 3. Key questions to enable spring planning and administration to move forward 11:15-11:25
  - a. Should mid-course evaluations be offered to fall pilot participants? Yes
  - b. Enable faculty custom questions for fall pilot participants? Reconsider at next meeting
  - c. Will we restrict survey deployment options to a few standard templates to simplify administration? Reconsider at next meeting
  - d. Others? No
- 4. Policy issues and UNM-wide guestions 11:25-11:40
  - a. Faculty Senate Teaching Enhancement Committee (TEC) has agreed to work on questions. To be discussed at TEC meeting on Jan 23
  - Will TEC also work on policy issues? If not, who will? To be discussed at TEC meeting on Jan 23
    - i. Survey content requirements
      - 1. Will UNM adopt a set of standard questions included in all surveys? If so, what are they?

- 2. Will UNM populate an institutional question bank from which questions can be chosen and/or enable schools, departments, and instructors to create their own?
- ii. Confidentiality of (access to) survey respondents
  - 1. Is existing language too weak, about right, not strong enough?
  - 2. Who gets to see what data/reports and under what conditions?
  - 3. Do students or the public get to see any of it?
- iii. Administration
  - How will control over survey content and administration be distributed among academic affairs, schools & departments, faculty, central IT services? - Is this a Faculty Senate issue?
- iv. Tool specificity
  - 1. Should use of a UNM-approved tool be required?
- v. Other questions?
- c. Can this work be completed in time for spring end-of-semester evaluations (approx. April 10)? If not, what's Plan B? Revisit at next meeting
- 5. Marketing plan review 11:40-11:50
  - a. Current web site
  - b. Web site update plans
    - i. Create a shorter link (redirection) for the authenticated access (will rdirect to https://unm.evaluationkit.com/MyEval/LoginCAS.aspx?id=c0FiNz4YFG9WYkjruq ysuA%3d%3d)
    - ii. Put the above link in a VERY obvious place on the main page (e.g., as a BIG BUTTON).
    - iii. Split content for different audiences department chairs/admins, faculty, students
    - iv. Improve on FAQ model (e.g., add additional content types, group large FAQ sets into meaningful subsets, ...)
    - v. Add training material links Vendor-supplied content for now, UNM –supplied later
    - vi. Others?
    - vii. Do this by Feb 9 or 10
  - c. Flyer for posting in faculty mailrooms and similar places targeted for Feb 9/10
  - d. Other? Targeted communication to department chairs in advance of February 10 Steve/Aaron will handle next week.
- 6. UCAMM names 11:50-11:55
  - ProfessorRate No due to likely faculty resistance
  - Grade Your Prof. No due to likely faculty resistance
  - Prof.Eval No due to likely faculty resistance
  - Course Eval Possible
  - Lobo Grade No, too vague

• Also EvaluationKit and CourseFeedback – Steve will run by UCAMM before next meeting

## TIME PERMITTING: - didn't get to these

- 1. IDEA sunset
- 2. F2F training
  - o May-June?
  - o Department Admins, Chairs, Faculty